

CHAPTER III

(ORDERED) IDEAL EXTENSIONS IN (ORDERED) Γ -SEMIGROUPS

In this chapter, we divide into two sections, and many properties of extension $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$ $\langle A, I \rangle$ of an (ordered) ideal I by a subset A of a (ordered) Γ -semigroup M are provided. Moreover, the equivalence relation $(\Phi_I) \phi_I$ on M defined by $((x, y) \in \Phi_I \Leftrightarrow \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle y, I \rangle\rangle)$ $(x, y) \in \phi_I \Leftrightarrow \langle x, I \rangle = \langle y, I \rangle$ is considered. It will be shown that if M is commutative, and I is an (ordered) s -semiprime ideal of M , then $(\Phi_I) \phi_I$ is a (ordered) semilattice congruence on M . In addition, if I is a (ordered) prime ideal of M , then $(\Phi_I) \phi_I = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in I \text{ or } x, y \notin I\}$.

3.1 Ideal Extensions in Γ -Semigroups

The following theorem is obtained in [13] and the following lemmas will be used frequently in this thesis.

Theorem 3.1.1. ([13]) *If M is a Γ -semigroup, then*

$$n = \bigcap_{I \in SP(M)} \sigma_I.$$

The next two lemmas are easy to verify.

Lemma 3.1.2. *If A is a subset of a Γ -semigroup M , then*

$$I(A) = A \cup M\Gamma A.$$

Lemma 3.1.3. *Let I be an ideal of a Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq B \subseteq M$. Then $\langle B, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A, I \rangle$.*

Lemma 3.1.4. *Let I be an ideal of a commutative Γ -semigroup M , $A \subseteq M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then we have the following statements:*

- (a) $\langle A, I \rangle$ is an ideal of M .
- (b) $I \subseteq \langle A, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A\gamma A, I \rangle$.
- (c) If $A \subseteq I$, then $\langle A, I \rangle = M$.

Proof. (a) Let $x \in \langle A, I \rangle, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $A\Gamma(x\gamma y) = (A\Gamma x)\gamma y \subseteq I\Gamma M \subseteq I$, so $x\gamma y \in \langle A, I \rangle$. Hence $\langle A, I \rangle$ is an ideal of M .

(b) If $x \in I$, then $A\Gamma x \subseteq M\Gamma I \subseteq I$. Thus $x \in \langle A, I \rangle$. If $x \in \langle A, I \rangle$, then $(A\Gamma A)\Gamma x = A\Gamma(A\Gamma x) \subseteq M\Gamma I \subseteq I$. Thus $x \in \langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle$. If $x \in \langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle$, then $(A\gamma A)\Gamma x \subseteq (A\Gamma A)\Gamma x \subseteq I$. Thus $x \in \langle A\gamma A, I \rangle$. Hence $I \subseteq \langle A, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A\gamma A, I \rangle$.

(c) Let $A \subseteq I$ and $x \in M$. Then $A\Gamma x \subseteq I\Gamma M \subseteq I$, so $x \in \langle A, I \rangle$. Hence $\langle A, I \rangle = M$.

Hence the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.1.5. Let I be an ideal of a Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq M$. Then

$$\langle A, I \rangle = \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle = \langle A \setminus I, I \rangle.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1.3, we have $\langle A, I \rangle \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle$. Now, let $x \in \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle$. Then $a\Gamma x \subseteq I$ for all $a \in A$, so $A\Gamma x \subseteq I$. Thus $x \in \langle A, I \rangle$, so $\bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle \subseteq \langle A, I \rangle$. Hence $\langle A, I \rangle = \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1.4(c), we have $\langle A, I \rangle = \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, I \rangle = \langle A \setminus I, I \rangle$.

Hence the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.1.6. Let I be an ideal of a Γ -semigroup M . Then I is a prime ideal of M if and only if $\langle A, I \rangle = I$ for all $A \not\subseteq I$.

Proof. Assume that I is a prime ideal of M and $A \not\subseteq I$. Let $x \in \langle A, I \rangle$. Then $A\Gamma x \subseteq I$. By hypothesis and $A \not\subseteq I$, $x \in I$. Thus $\langle A, I \rangle \subseteq I$. By Lemma 3.1.4(b), $\langle A, I \rangle = I$.

Conversely, assume that $\langle A, I \rangle = I$ for all $A \not\subseteq I$. Let $A, B \subseteq M$ be such that $A\Gamma B \subseteq I$ and $A \not\subseteq I$. Then $B \subseteq \langle A, I \rangle = I$. Hence I is a prime ideal of M .

Hence the proof is completed. \square

We can easily prove the last lemma.

Lemma 3.1.7. *Let A and B be two nonempty subfamilies of $P(M)$ and $SP(M)$, respectively. Then we have the following statements:*

- (a) $\bigcap_{P \in A} P$ is a semiprime ideal of M if $\bigcap_{P \in A} P \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) $\bigcup_{P \in B} P$ is a prime ideal of M .
- (c) $\bigcap_{P \in B} P$ is an s -semiprime ideal of M if $\bigcap_{P \in B} P \neq \emptyset$.
- (d) $\bigcup_{P \in B} P$ is an s -prime ideal of M .

We now give some characterizations of extensions of ideals and the main theorems of this section as below.

Theorem 3.1.8. *Let P be a prime ideal of a commutative Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq M$. Then $\langle A, P \rangle$ is a prime ideal of M . Furthermore, $\langle A, \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \rangle$ is a semiprime ideal of M if $\bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $A \subseteq P$, then it follows from Lemma 3.1.4(c) that $\langle A, P \rangle = M$. If $A \not\subseteq P$, then it follows from Lemma 3.1.6 that $\langle A, P \rangle = P$. Hence $\langle A, P \rangle$ is a

prime ideal of M . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in \langle A, \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \rangle &\Leftrightarrow A\Gamma x \subseteq \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \\
 &\Leftrightarrow A\Gamma x \subseteq P \text{ for all } P \in P(M) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x \in \langle A, P \rangle \text{ for all } P \in P(M) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} \langle A, P \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\langle A, \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \rangle = \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} \langle A, P \rangle.$$

By Lemma 3.1.7(a), $\langle A, \bigcap_{P \in P(M)} P \rangle$ is a semiprime ideal of M . □

Theorem 3.1.9. *Let A and B be subsets of a Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq M\Gamma A$. Then $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$ if and only if for every ideal J of M , $\langle B, J \rangle \subseteq \langle A, J \rangle$.*

Proof. Assume that $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$. Let J be an ideal of M and $x \in \langle B, J \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1.2, we have $A \subseteq I(B) = B \cup M\Gamma B$. For any $a \in A$, if $a = y\alpha b$ for some $y \in M, b \in B$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then $a\gamma x = (y\alpha b)\gamma x = y\alpha(b\gamma x) \in M\Gamma J \subseteq J$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $a\gamma x \in J$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, so $x \in \langle a, J \rangle$. If $a = b$ for some $b \in B$, then $a\gamma x = b\gamma x \in J$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $a\gamma x \in J$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, so $x \in \langle a, J \rangle$. Therefore $\langle B, J \rangle \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in A} \langle a, J \rangle$. It follows from Lemma 3.1.5 that $\langle B, J \rangle \subseteq \langle A, J \rangle$.

Conversely, assume that $\langle B, J \rangle \subseteq \langle A, J \rangle$ for all ideal J of M . Then $\langle B, I(B) \rangle \subseteq \langle A, I(B) \rangle$. Since $B \subseteq I(B)$, it follows from Lemma 3.1.4(c) that $\langle B, I(B) \rangle = M$. Thus $\langle A, I(B) \rangle = M$, so $M\Gamma A \subseteq I(B)$. Hence $A \subseteq M\Gamma A \subseteq I(B)$. This implies that $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$. □

Theorem 3.1.10. *If I is an s -semiprime ideal of a commutative Γ -semigroup M , then ϕ_I is a semilattice congruence on M .*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \phi_I, c \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\langle x, I \rangle = \langle y, I \rangle$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \in \langle x\gamma c, I \rangle &\Leftrightarrow (x\gamma c)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle x, I \rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle y, I \rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow y\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (y\gamma c)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow a \in \langle y\gamma c, I \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(x\gamma c, y\gamma c) \in \phi_I$. Similarly, we can show that $(c\gamma x, c\gamma y) \in \phi_I$. Hence ϕ_I is a congruence on M . Let $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \in \langle x\gamma x, I \rangle &\Rightarrow (x\gamma x)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow (x\gamma x\Gamma a)\Gamma a \subseteq I\Gamma M \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow (x\Gamma a)\gamma(x\Gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow x\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow a \in \langle x, I \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle x\gamma x, I \rangle \subseteq \langle x, I \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1.4(b), we have $\langle x, I \rangle \subseteq \langle x\gamma x, I \rangle$. Hence $\langle x\gamma x, I \rangle = \langle x, I \rangle$, so $(x\gamma x, x) \in \phi_I$. Therefore ϕ_I is a semilattice congruence on M . \square

Theorem 3.1.11. *If I is an s -prime ideal of a Γ -semigroup M , then $\phi_I = \sigma_I$ and $n \subseteq \phi_I$.*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \phi_I$. Then $\langle x, I \rangle = \langle y, I \rangle$. Suppose that $(x, y) \notin \sigma_I$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x \in I$ but $y \notin I$. By Lemmas 3.1.4(c) and 3.1.6, we have $\langle x, I \rangle = M$ and $\langle y, I \rangle = I$. Thus $I = M$, so $y \notin M$. This is a

contradiction. Hence $(x, y) \in \sigma_I$, so $\phi_I \subseteq \sigma_I$. Let $(x, y) \in \sigma_I$. If $x \in I$, then $y \in I$. By Lemma 3.1.4(c), $\langle x, I \rangle = M = \langle y, I \rangle$. If $x \notin I$, then $y \notin I$. By Lemma 3.1.6, $\langle x, I \rangle = I = \langle y, I \rangle$. Hence $(x, y) \in \phi_I$, so $\sigma_I \subseteq \phi_I$. Therefore $\phi_I = \sigma_I$. It follows from Theorem 3.1.1 that

$$n = \bigcap_{J \in SP(M)} \sigma_J = \bigcap_{J \in SP(M)} \phi_J \subseteq \phi_I.$$

Hence the proof is completed. \square

3.2 Ordered Ideal Extensions in Ordered Γ -Semigroups

Our purpose is to provide various properties of extensions of ordered ideals of an ordered Γ -semigroup M . The following lemma is evident.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let I be an ordered ideal of an ordered Γ -semigroup M and $A, B \subseteq M$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *If $A \subseteq B$, then $\langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$.*
- (b) *If $A \subseteq I$, then $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = M$.*
- (c) *$\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A \setminus I, I \rangle\rangle$.*

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let I be an ordered ideal of an ordered Γ -semigroup M . Then for any $a \in M$,*

$$\langle\langle [a], I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle \text{ for all } x \leq a.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.1(a), $\langle\langle [a], I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle a, I \rangle\rangle$. If $y \in \langle\langle a, I \rangle\rangle$ and $z \in [a]$, then $z \leq a$, so $z\gamma y \leq a\gamma y \in a\Gamma y \subseteq I$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $y \in \langle\langle [a], I \rangle\rangle$. Therefore we have $\langle\langle [a], I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a, I \rangle\rangle$. If $x \leq a$, then $x \in [a]$, so by Lemma 3.2.1(a), $\langle\langle [a], I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle$. \square

Proposition 3.2.3. *If I is an ordered ideal of an ordered Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq M$, then*

$$\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A\Gamma' A, I \rangle\rangle \text{ for all } \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'.$$

Proof. Since $(A\Gamma A)\Gamma\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = A\Gamma(A\Gamma\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle) \subseteq A\Gamma I \subseteq I$ and $(A\Gamma' A)\Gamma\langle\langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq (A\Gamma A)\Gamma\langle\langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq I$, it follows that $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle A\Gamma A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A\Gamma' A, I \rangle\rangle$, respectively. \square

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let I and I_i be ordered ideals of an ordered Γ -semigroup M and $A, A_i \subseteq M$ for all $i \in \Lambda$. Then*

$$(a) \quad \langle\langle A, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \rangle\rangle = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \langle\langle A, I_i \rangle\rangle \text{ and}$$

$$(b) \quad \langle\langle \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i, I \rangle\rangle = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \langle\langle A_i, I \rangle\rangle.$$

Proof. For $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \langle\langle A, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \rangle\rangle &\Leftrightarrow A\Gamma x \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \\ &\Leftrightarrow A\Gamma x \subseteq I_i \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \langle\langle A, I_i \rangle\rangle \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \langle\langle A, I_i \rangle\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \langle\langle \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i, I \rangle\rangle &\Leftrightarrow (\bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} A_i)\Gamma x \subseteq I \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_i\Gamma x \subseteq I \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \langle\langle A_i, I \rangle\rangle \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \langle\langle A_i, I \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 3.2.5. *Let I be an ordered ideal of an ordered Γ -semigroup M . Then I is an ordered prime ideal of M if and only if $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = I$ for all $A \subseteq M$ with $A \not\subseteq I$.*

Proof. Assume that I is an ordered prime ideal of M , and let $A \not\subseteq I$. Since $A\Gamma\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq I$, $A \not\subseteq I$ and I is an ordered prime ideal of M , it follows that $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq I$ which implies that $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = I$.

Conversely, assume that $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = I$ for all $A \not\subseteq I$. To show that I is an ordered prime ideal of M , let $A, B \subseteq M$ be such that $A\Gamma B \subseteq I$ and $A \not\subseteq I$. Then $B \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle = I$. \square

Recall that for an ordered ideal I of an ordered Γ -semigroup M and $A \subseteq M$, $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$ is an ordered ideal of M if M is commutative.

Corollary 3.2.6. *Assume that M is a commutative ordered Γ -semigroup. If I is an ordered prime ideal of M and $A \subseteq M$, then so is $\langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.2.1(b) and Proposition 3.2.5. \square

It is obviously seen that a nonempty intersection of ordered prime ideals of an ordered Γ -semigroup M is an ordered semiprime ideal of M .

Corollary 3.2.7. *Assume that M is a commutative ordered Γ -semigroup and $A \subseteq M$. If $\{I_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ is a collection of ordered prime ideals of M such that $\bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \neq \emptyset$, then $\langle\langle A, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \rangle\rangle$ is an ordered semiprime ideal of M .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.2.6, $\langle\langle A, I_i \rangle\rangle$ is an ordered prime ideal of M for all $i \in \Lambda$. But $\langle\langle A, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \rangle\rangle = \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} \langle\langle A, I_i \rangle\rangle$ by Proposition 3.2.4(a). It follows that $\langle\langle A, \bigcap_{i \in \Lambda} I_i \rangle\rangle$ is an ordered semiprime ideal of M . \square

Proposition 3.2.8. *For $A, B \subseteq M$ where M is an ordered Γ -semigroup, if $OI(A) \subseteq OI(B)$, then $\langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$ for every ordered ideal I of M .*

Proof. Assume that $OI(A) \subseteq OI(B)$ and let I be an ordered ideal of M . If $x \in \langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle$, then $B\Gamma x \subseteq I$. Since $A \subseteq OI(B)$, it follows from Lemma 3.2.1(a) that $\langle\langle OI(B), I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$. By Lemma 3.2.1(a) and Propositions 3.2.2 and 3.2.4(b),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle &\subseteq \langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle M\Gamma B, I \rangle\rangle \\
 &\subseteq \langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \bigcap_{b \in B} \langle\langle b, I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \bigcap_{b \in B} \langle\langle [b], I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \langle\langle \bigcup_{b \in B} [b], I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \langle\langle [B], I \rangle\rangle \cap \langle\langle (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \langle\langle [B] \cup (M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \langle\langle (B \cup M\Gamma B), I \rangle\rangle \\
 &= \langle\langle OI(B), I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle\langle B, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle A, I \rangle\rangle$. □

Lemma 3.2.9. *If M is a commutative ordered Γ -semigroup, and I is an ordered ideal of M , then Φ_I is a congruence on M .*

Proof. Let $(x, y) \in \Phi_I$, $c \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle y, I \rangle\rangle$. For $a \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \in \langle\langle x\gamma c, I \rangle\rangle &\Leftrightarrow (x\gamma c)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle\langle y, I \rangle\rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow y\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (y\gamma c)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow a \in \langle\langle y\gamma c, I \rangle\rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \in \langle\langle c\gamma x, I \rangle\rangle &\Leftrightarrow (c\gamma x)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow c\gamma a \in \langle\langle y, I \rangle\rangle \\
 &\Leftrightarrow y\Gamma(c\gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow (c\gamma y)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Leftrightarrow a \in \langle\langle c\gamma y, I \rangle\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(x\gamma c, y\gamma c) \in \Phi_I$ and $(c\gamma x, c\gamma y) \in \Phi_I$. Hence Φ_I is a congruence on M . \square

Proposition 3.2.10. *If M is a commutative ordered Γ -semigroup, and I is an ordered s -semiprime ideal of M , then Φ_I is an ordered semilattice congruence on M .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2.9, Φ_I is a congruence on M . Since M is commutative, $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \Phi_I$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then for $a \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a \in \langle\langle x\gamma x, I \rangle\rangle &\Rightarrow (x\gamma x)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow (x\gamma x\Gamma a)\Gamma a \subseteq I\Gamma M \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow (x\Gamma a)\gamma(x\Gamma a) \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow x\Gamma a \subseteq I \\
 &\Rightarrow a \in \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2.3, $\langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle \subseteq \langle\langle x\gamma x, I \rangle\rangle$. Therefore we have $\langle\langle x\gamma x, I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle$,

T. QA
244
A3M1
2009

- 6 ต.ก. 2551

4 26485X



25 สำนักหอสมุด

so $(x\gamma x, x) \in \Phi_I$. Let $x, y \in M$ be such that $x \leq y$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then for $a \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle &\Rightarrow x\Gamma a \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow (x\Gamma a)\gamma y \subseteq I\Gamma M \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow (x\gamma y)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow a \in \langle\langle x\gamma y, I \rangle\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \langle\langle x\gamma y, I \rangle\rangle &\Rightarrow (x\gamma y)\Gamma a \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow (x\gamma x\Gamma a)\Gamma a \subseteq ((x\gamma y)\Gamma a)\Gamma a \subseteq (I\Gamma M)\Gamma a \subseteq I\Gamma a \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow (x\Gamma a)\gamma(x\Gamma a) \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow x\Gamma a \subseteq I \\ &\Rightarrow a \in \langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\langle\langle x, I \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle x\gamma y, I \rangle\rangle$, so $(x, x\gamma y) \in \Phi_I$. Hence Φ_I is an ordered semilattice congruence on M . □

Proposition 3.2.11. *If I is an ordered prime ideal of an ordered Γ -semigroup M , then*

$$\Phi_I = (I \times I) \cup (M \setminus I \times M \setminus I).$$

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 3.2.1(b) and Proposition 3.2.5. □