

## CHAPTER VI

### DECOMPOSITION OF COMMUTATIVE (ORDERED) $\Gamma$ -SEMIGROUPS INTO ARCHIMEDEAN COMPONENTS

In this chapter, we divide into two sections, and many properties of the relation “ $(\bar{\eta}) \eta$ ” on a (ordered)  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  are provided. We prove that for commutative (ordered)  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, we have the usual relation  $(\mathcal{N}) n$  is equal to the relation  $(\bar{\eta}) \eta$ . It is shown that if  $M$  is commutative, then  $M$  is, uniquely, a (ordered) semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$  which mean that they are decomposable, in a unique way, into their archimedean components.

#### 6.1 Decomposition of Commutative $\Gamma$ -Semigroups into Archimedean Components

Before the characterizations of the semilattices of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups for the main theorems, we give some auxiliary results which are necessary in what follows. We begin by recalling the following two lemmas which proof can be found in [13].

**Lemma 6.1.1.**([13]) *If  $\rho \in SC(M)$ , then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *For each  $x \in M$ , the  $\rho$ -class  $(x)_\rho$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ .*
- (b) *The set  $M/\rho$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup with  $(x)_\rho \gamma (y)_\rho = (x\gamma y)_\rho$  for all  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

**Lemma 6.1.2.**([13]) *If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the relation  $n$  is the least semilattice congruence on  $M$ .*

We now characterize the relationship between the relation  $\eta$  and the usual relation  $n$  in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, and we prove that for commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, the relation  $\eta$  coincides with the usual relation  $n$ .

**Proposition 6.1.3.** *If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $a \mid a$  for all  $a \in M$ .
- (b) If  $a, b, c \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ , then  $a \mid c$ .
- (c) If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ , then  $c\gamma a \mid c\gamma b$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (d) If  $M$  is commutative, and  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ , then  $a\gamma c \mid b\gamma c$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly,  $a \mid a$  for all  $a \in M$ . Hence the statement (a) holds.

(b) If  $a, b, c \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ , then  $b = a$  or  $b = a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and  $c = b$  or  $c = b\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $b = a\gamma x$  and  $c = b\beta y$ , then  $c = b\beta y = a\gamma x\beta y$ . Hence  $a \mid c$ . In another case, we can prove that  $a \mid c$ . Therefore the statement (b) holds.

(c) If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ , then  $b = a$  or  $b = a\alpha x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $c\gamma b = c\gamma a$  or  $c\gamma b = c\gamma a\alpha x$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $c\gamma a \mid c\gamma b$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Therefore the statement (c) holds.

(d) If  $M$  is commutative, then by (c), the statement (d) also holds.

Therefore we complete the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

Recall that for  $x, y \in M$ , we write  $x\mu y$  if and only if  $x \mid y$  or  $x \mid y\gamma_1 y\gamma_2 y \dots y\gamma_m y$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ , and  $\eta = \mu \cap \mu^{-1}$ .

The following proposition is easy to verify.

**Proposition 6.1.4.** *If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $\eta$  is reflexive.
- (b)  $\eta$  is symmetric.
- (c)  $(a\gamma a, a) \in \eta$  for all  $a \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proposition 6.1.5.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b.$$

- (b) *If  $a, b \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) \mid (a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b).$$

**Proof.** (a) Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a \mid b, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ . Then  $b = a$  or  $b = a\beta x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $b = a$ , then  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b = a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a$ . Hence  $a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b$ . If  $b = a\beta x$ , then  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b = (a\beta x)\gamma_1(a\beta x)\gamma_2(a\beta x) \dots (a\beta x)\gamma_n(a\beta x) = (a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a)\beta(x\beta x\beta x \dots x\beta x)$ . Hence  $a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b$ .

(b) Let  $a, b \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ . Put  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_n = \beta_{n+1} = \beta$ . Then  $(a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b) = (a\beta_1 b)\gamma_1(a\beta_2 b)\gamma_2(a\beta_3 b) \dots (a\beta_n b)\gamma_n(a\beta_{n+1} b) = (a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a)\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) = a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b)\beta(a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_{n-1} a)$ . Hence

$$a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) \mid (a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b).$$

Therefore the proof is completed. □

**Proposition 6.1.6.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

(a)  $\eta$  is transitive.

(b)  $\eta$  is left compatible.

(c)  $\eta$  is right compatible.

(d)  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \eta$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $a, b, c \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \eta$  and  $(b, c) \in \eta$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \eta$ , we have

$$a \mid b \text{ or } a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \mid a \text{ or } b \mid a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $(b, c) \in \eta$ , we have

$$b \mid c \text{ or } b \mid c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$c \mid b \text{ or } c \mid b\lambda_1 b\lambda_2 b \dots b\lambda_h b \text{ for some } h \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_h \in \Gamma.$$

Assume that  $a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$ ,  $b \mid a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a$ ,  $b \mid c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c$  and  $c \mid b\lambda_1 b\lambda_2 b \dots b\lambda_h b$ . Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.1.5(a), we have

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \mid c'\gamma_1 c'\gamma_2 c' \dots c'\gamma_m c' \text{ where } c' = c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c.$$

By Proposition 6.1.3(b), we have  $a \mid c'\gamma_1 c'\gamma_2 c' \dots c'\gamma_m c'$ . In a similar way, we prove that  $c \mid a'\lambda_1 a'\lambda_2 a' \dots a'\lambda_h a'$  where  $a' = a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a$ . Hence  $(a, c) \in \eta$ .

In another case, we can prove that  $(a, c) \in \eta$ . Therefore  $\eta$  is transitive.

(b) Let  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \eta$ . Then

$a \mid b$  or  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ ,

and

$b \mid a$  or  $b \mid a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma$ .

Assume that  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$  and  $b \mid a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na$ . By Proposition 6.1.3(c), we have  $c\gamma a \mid c\gamma(b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb)$ . Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.1.5(b), we have

$$c\gamma(b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb) \mid (c\gamma b)\gamma_1(c\gamma b)\gamma_2(c\gamma b) \dots (c\gamma b)\gamma_m(c\gamma b).$$

By Proposition 6.1.3(b), we have

$$c\gamma a \mid (c\gamma b)\gamma_1(c\gamma b)\gamma_2(c\gamma b) \dots (c\gamma b)\gamma_m(c\gamma b).$$

In a similar way, we prove that

$$c\gamma b \mid (c\gamma a)\beta_1(c\gamma a)\beta_2(c\gamma a) \dots (c\gamma a)\beta_n(c\gamma a).$$

Hence  $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in \eta$ . In another case, we can prove that  $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in \eta$ . Therefore  $\eta$  is left compatible.

(c) Since  $M$  is commutative, it follows from (b).

(d) Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.1.4(a),  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \eta$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Hence we have the proposition.  $\square$

Immediately from Propositions 6.1.4 and 6.1.6, we have Theorem 6.1.7.

**Theorem 6.1.7.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $\eta$  is a semilattice congruence on  $M$ .*

**Lemma 6.1.8.** *If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ , then  $n(a) \subseteq n(b)$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \mid b$ . Then  $b = a$  or  $b = a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $b \in n(b)$ , we have  $a \in n(b)$  or  $a\gamma x \in n(b)$ . Hence  $a \in n(b)$ , so  $n(a) \subseteq n(b)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.1.9.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $\eta = n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \eta$ . Then

$$a \mid b \text{ or } a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \mid a \text{ or } b \mid a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_k a \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k \in \Gamma.$$

Assume that  $a \mid b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$  and  $b \mid a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_k a$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b = a \text{ or } b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b = a\alpha x \text{ for some } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $b \in n(b)$ , we have  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \in n(b)$ . This implies that  $a \in n(b)$  or  $a\alpha x \in n(b)$ . Hence  $a \in n(b)$ , so  $n(a) \subseteq n(b)$ . Since  $b \mid a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_k a$ , by symmetry, we get  $n(b) \subseteq n(a)$ . Therefore  $n(a) = n(b)$ , so  $(a, b) \in n$ . In another case, we can prove that  $(a, b) \in n$ . Hence  $\eta \subseteq n$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 6.1.7 and Lemma 6.1.2, we have  $n \subseteq \eta$ . Therefore  $\eta = n$ .

Therefore we complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.1.10.** *For a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$ ,  $\delta \cap \delta^{-1} \subseteq n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \delta \cap \delta^{-1}$ . Then  $(a, b) \in \delta$ , so  $b \mid a$ . By Lemma 6.1.8,  $n(b) \subseteq n(a)$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \delta^{-1}$ ,  $(b, a) \in \delta$ . By symmetry, we have  $n(a) \subseteq n(b)$ . Thus  $n(a) = n(b)$ , so  $(a, b) \in n$ . Therefore  $\delta \cap \delta^{-1} \subseteq n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.1.11.** *If  $M$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $a, b \in M$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ .
- (b)  $b = a\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  or  $b\beta_1b\beta_2b \dots b\beta_nb = a\beta_{n+1}y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** If  $a \mid b$ , then  $b = a$  or  $b = a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $b\gamma b = a\gamma b$  or  $b\gamma b = a\gamma x\gamma b$ . Hence there exist  $y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $b\beta_1b = a\beta_2y$ . Assume that  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb = a \text{ or } b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb = a\beta x \text{ for some } x \in M \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Put  $\gamma_{m+1} = \beta$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb\gamma_{m+1}b = a\gamma_{m+1}b \text{ or } b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb\gamma_{m+1}b = a\beta x\gamma_{m+1}b.$$

Hence there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$  such that  $b\beta_1b\beta_2b \dots b\beta_nb = a\beta_{n+1}y$ . Therefore (a) implies (b).

Conversely, if  $b = a\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $a \mid b$ . Assume that  $b\beta_1b\beta_2b \dots b\beta_nb = a\beta_{n+1}y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a \mid b\beta_1b\beta_2b \dots b\beta_nb$ . Hence there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$ . Therefore (b) implies (a).  $\square$

Using the relation  $\eta$  defined above, we prove that the commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroups are, uniquely, semilattices of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups. That is, they are decomposable into archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, and the decomposition is unique.

**Proposition 6.1.12.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the  $\eta$ -class  $(x)_\eta$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$  for all  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in M$ . Since  $\eta \in SC(M)$  and using Lemma 6.1.1(a), we have the  $\eta$ -class  $(x)_\eta$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a, b \in (x)_\eta$ . Then  $(a, b) \in \eta$ . Thus

$a \mid b$  or  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_tb$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t \in \Gamma$ ,

and

$b \mid a$  or  $b \mid a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_ha$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_h \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_tb$ , it follows from Proposition 6.1.11 that there exist  $u \in \mathbb{N}, s \in M$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u \in \Gamma$  such that  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub = a\alpha_{u+1}s$ . Similarly, there exist  $v \in \mathbb{N}, k \in M$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_v \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\lambda_1a\lambda_2a \dots a\lambda_va = b\lambda_{v+1}k$ . Thus  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b = a\alpha_{u+1}s\alpha_{u+1}b = b\alpha_{u+1}s\alpha_{u+1}a$ , so  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \mid b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b$ . Then  $b \mid b\alpha_{u+1}s$ . Hence  $(b\alpha_{u+1}s, b) \in \eta$ , so  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \in (b)_\eta = (x)_\eta$ . Thus  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b = a\alpha_{u+1}b\alpha_{u+1}s$  where  $u+1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \in (x)_\eta$ , that is  $a \mid_{(x)_\eta} b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b$ .

In a similar way, we can prove that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in (x)_\eta$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  such that  $a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na = b\beta_{n+1}z$ . Hence  $b \mid_{(x)_\eta} a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na$ . Therefore  $(x)_\eta$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ .  $\square$

Immediately from Theorem 6.1.7 and Proposition 6.1.12, we have Theorem 6.1.13.

**Theorem 6.1.13.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $M$  is a semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$ .*

**Proposition 6.1.14.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and  $\rho$  is a semilattice congruence on  $M$  such that the  $\rho$ -class  $(x)_\rho$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$  for all  $x \in M$ , then  $\rho = \eta$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \rho$ . Then, since  $a, b \in (b)_\rho$  and  $(b)_\rho$  is archimedean, we get

$a \mid_{(b)_\rho} b$  or  $a \mid_{(b)_\rho} b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ ,



and

$$b \mid_{(b)\rho} a \text{ or } b \mid_{(b)\rho} a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Hence  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb$ , and  $b \mid a$  or  $b \mid a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na$ . Therefore  $(a, b) \in \eta$ , so  $\rho \subseteq \eta$ . By Lemma 6.1.2 and Theorem 6.1.9, we have  $\eta$  is the least semilattice congruence on  $M$ . Hence  $\eta \subseteq \rho$ , so  $\eta = \rho$ .

Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

The following theorem is the main result of this section which is immediate from Theorem 6.1.7 and Propositions 6.1.12 and 6.1.14.

**Theorem 6.1.15.** *If  $M$  is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $M$  is, uniquely, a semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$ .*

## 6.2 Decomposition of Commutative Ordered $\Gamma$ -Semigroups into Archimedean Components

Before the characterizations of the ordered semilattices of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups for the main theorems, we give some auxiliary results which are necessary in what follows. We begin by recalling the following lemma, which proof can be found in [13].

**Lemma 6.2.1.([13])** *If  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the relation  $\mathcal{N}$  is the least ordered semilattice congruence on  $M$ .*

The first purpose of this section is to characterize the relationship between the relation  $\bar{\eta}$  and the usual relation  $\mathcal{N}$  in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, and we prove that for commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, the relation  $\bar{\eta}$  coincides with the usual relation  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Our first aim is to give some basic propositions for the main theorems.

**Proposition 6.2.2.** *If  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $a \parallel a$  for all  $a \in M$ .
- (b) If  $a, b, c \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$  and  $b \parallel c$ , then  $a \parallel c$ .
- (c) If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ , then  $c\gamma a \parallel c\gamma b$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .
- (d) If  $M$  is commutative, and  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ , then  $a\gamma c \parallel b\gamma c$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly,  $a \parallel a$  for all  $a \in M$ . Hence the statement (a) holds.

(b) If  $a, b, c \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$  and  $b \parallel c$ , then  $b \leq a$  or  $b \leq a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and  $c \leq b$  or  $c \leq b\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $b \leq a\gamma x$  and  $c \leq b\beta y$ , then  $c \leq b\beta y \leq a\gamma x\beta y$ . Hence  $a \parallel c$ . In another case, we can prove that  $a \parallel c$ . Therefore the statement (b) holds.

(c) If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ , then  $b \leq a$  or  $b \leq a\alpha x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $c\gamma b \leq c\gamma a$  or  $c\gamma b \leq c\gamma a\alpha x$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $c\gamma a \parallel c\gamma b$  for all  $c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Therefore the statement (c) holds.

(d) If  $M$  is commutative, then by (c), the statement (d) also holds.  $\square$

Recall that for  $x, y \in M$ , we write  $x\bar{\mu}y$  if and only if  $x \parallel y$  or  $x \parallel y\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\ldots\gamma_m y$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ , and  $\bar{\eta} = \bar{\mu} \cap \bar{\mu}^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 6.2.3.** *If  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $\bar{\eta}$  is reflexive.
- (b)  $\bar{\eta}$  is symmetric.
- (c) If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \leq b$ , then  $(a, a\gamma b) \in \bar{\eta}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** (a) If  $a \in M$ , then it follows from Proposition 6.2.2(a) that  $a \parallel a$ . Thus  $(a, a) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Hence  $\bar{\eta}$  is reflexive.

(b) It is obvious.

(c) Let  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $a \leq b$ . Then  $a\gamma a \leq a\gamma b$ , so  $a\gamma b \parallel a\gamma a$ . Clearly,  $a \parallel a\gamma b$ . Hence  $(a, a\gamma b) \in \bar{\eta}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.4.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

(a) *If  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b.$$

(b) *If  $a, b \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ ,*

$$a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) \parallel (a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b).$$

**Proof.** (a) Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a \parallel b$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ . Then  $b \leq a$  or  $b \leq a\beta x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . If  $b \leq a$ , then  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b \leq a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a$ . Hence  $a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b$ . If  $b \leq a\beta x$ , then  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b \leq (a\beta x)\gamma_1(a\beta x)\gamma_2(a\beta x) \dots (a\beta x)\gamma_n(a\beta x) = (a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a)\beta(x\beta x\beta x \dots x\beta x)$ . Hence  $a\gamma_1 a\gamma_2 a \dots a\gamma_n a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b$ .

(b) Let  $a, b \in M$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$ . Put  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_n = \beta_{n+1} = \beta$ . Then  $(a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b) = (a\beta_1 b)\gamma_1(a\beta_2 b)\gamma_2(a\beta_3 b) \dots (a\beta_n b)\gamma_n(a\beta_{n+1} b) = (a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a)\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) = a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b)\beta(a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_{n-1} a)$ . Hence

$$a\beta(b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_n b) \parallel (a\beta b)\gamma_1(a\beta b)\gamma_2(a\beta b) \dots (a\beta b)\gamma_n(a\beta b).$$

Therefore the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.5.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the following statements hold:*

- (a)  $\bar{\eta}$  is transitive.
- (b)  $\bar{\eta}$  is left compatible.
- (c)  $\bar{\eta}$  is right compatible.
- (d)  $(a\gamma b, b\gamma a) \in \bar{\eta}$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $a, b, c \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$  and  $(b, c) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ , we have

$$a \parallel b \text{ or } a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \parallel a \text{ or } b \parallel a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $(b, c) \in \bar{\eta}$ , we have

$$b \parallel c \text{ or } b \parallel c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$c \parallel b \text{ or } c \parallel b\lambda_1 b\lambda_2 b \dots b\lambda_h b \text{ for some } h \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_h \in \Gamma.$$

Assume that  $a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$ ,  $b \parallel a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a$ ,  $b \parallel c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c$  and  $c \parallel b\lambda_1 b\lambda_2 b \dots b\lambda_h b$ . Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.2.4(a), we have

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \parallel c'\gamma_1 c'\gamma_2 c' \dots c'\gamma_m c' \text{ where } c' = c\alpha_1 c\alpha_2 c \dots c\alpha_t c.$$

By Proposition 6.2.2(b), we have

$$a \parallel c' \gamma_1 c' \gamma_2 c' \dots c' \gamma_m c'.$$

In a similar way, we prove that

$$c \parallel a' \lambda_1 a' \lambda_2 a' \dots a' \lambda_h a' \text{ where } a' = a \beta_1 a \beta_2 a \dots a \beta_n a.$$

Hence  $(a, c) \in \bar{\eta}$ . In another case, we can prove that  $(a, c) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\eta}$  is transitive.

(b) Let  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Then

$$a \parallel b \text{ or } a \parallel b \gamma_1 b \gamma_2 b \dots b \gamma_m b \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \parallel a \text{ or } b \parallel a \beta_1 a \beta_2 a \dots a \beta_n a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Assume that  $a \parallel b \gamma_1 b \gamma_2 b \dots b \gamma_m b$  and  $b \parallel a \beta_1 a \beta_2 a \dots a \beta_n a$ . By Proposition 6.2.2(c), we have  $c \gamma a \parallel c \gamma b \gamma_1 b \gamma_2 b \dots b \gamma_m b$ . Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.2.4(b), we have

$$c \gamma (b \gamma_1 b \gamma_2 b \dots b \gamma_m b) \parallel (c \gamma b) \gamma_1 (c \gamma b) \gamma_2 (c \gamma b) \dots (c \gamma b) \gamma_m (c \gamma b).$$

By Proposition 6.2.2(b), we have

$$c \gamma a \parallel (c \gamma b) \gamma_1 (c \gamma b) \gamma_2 (c \gamma b) \dots (c \gamma b) \gamma_m (c \gamma b).$$

In a similar way, we prove that

$$c \gamma b \parallel (c \gamma a) \beta_1 (c \gamma a) \beta_2 (c \gamma a) \dots (c \gamma a) \beta_n (c \gamma a).$$

Hence  $(c \gamma a, c \gamma b) \in \bar{\eta}$ . In another case, we can prove that  $(c \gamma a, c \gamma b) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\eta}$  is left compatible.

(c) Since  $M$  is commutative, it follows from (b).

(d) Since  $M$  is commutative and using Proposition 6.2.3(a),  $(a \gamma b, b \gamma a) \in \bar{\eta}$  for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . □

Immediately from Propositions 6.2.3 and 6.2.5, we have Theorem 6.2.6.

**Theorem 6.2.6.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $\bar{\eta}$  is an ordered semilattice congruence on  $M$ .*

**Lemma 6.2.7.** *If  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ , then  $N(a) \subseteq N(b)$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $a, b \in M$  is such that  $a \parallel b$ . Then  $b \leq a$  or  $b \leq a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $b \in N(b)$ , we have  $a \in N(b)$  or  $a\gamma x \in N(b)$ . Hence  $a \in N(b)$ , so  $N(a) \subseteq N(b)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.2.8.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $\bar{\eta} = \mathcal{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Then

$$a \parallel b \text{ or } a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \parallel a \text{ or } b \parallel a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Assume that  $a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$  and  $b \parallel a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \leq a \text{ or } b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \leq a\alpha x \text{ for some } x \in M \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

Since  $b \in N(b)$ , we have  $b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \in N(b)$ . This implies that  $a \in N(b)$  or  $a\alpha x \in N(b)$ . Hence  $a \in N(b)$ , so  $N(a) \subseteq N(b)$ . Since  $b \parallel a\beta_1 a\beta_2 a \dots a\beta_n a$ , by symmetry, we get  $N(b) \subseteq N(a)$ . Therefore  $N(a) = N(b)$ , so  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{N}$ . In another case, we can prove that  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Hence  $\bar{\eta} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 6.2.6 and Lemma 6.2.1, we have  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \bar{\eta}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\eta} = \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

We define a relation  $\bar{\delta}$  on an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$  as follows:

$$\bar{\delta} := \{(x, y) \mid y \parallel x\}.$$

**Proposition 6.2.9.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the relation  $\bar{\delta}$  is pseudoorder on  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \leq$ . Then  $a \leq b$ , so  $b \parallel a$ . Thus  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta}$ , so  $\leq \subseteq \bar{\delta}$ . Let  $a, b, c \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta}$  and  $(b, c) \in \bar{\delta}$ . Then  $b \parallel a$  and  $c \parallel b$ . By Proposition 6.2.2(b), we have  $c \parallel a$ . Thus  $(a, c) \in \bar{\delta}$ . Let  $a, b, c \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta}$ . Then  $b \parallel a$ . By Proposition 6.2.2(c) and (d), we have  $b\gamma c \parallel a\gamma c$  and  $c\gamma b \parallel c\gamma a$ . Thus  $(a\gamma c, b\gamma c) \in \bar{\delta}$  and  $(c\gamma a, c\gamma b) \in \bar{\delta}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\delta}$  is a pseudoorder on  $M$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.10.** *For an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $M$ ,  $\bar{\delta} \cap \bar{\delta}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta} \cap \bar{\delta}^{-1}$ . Then  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta}$ , so  $b \parallel a$ . By Lemma 6.2.7,  $N(b) \subseteq N(a)$ . Since  $(a, b) \in \bar{\delta}^{-1}$ ,  $(b, a) \in \bar{\delta}$ . By symmetry, we have  $N(a) \subseteq N(b)$ . Thus  $N(a) = N(b)$ , so  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{N}$ . Therefore  $\bar{\delta} \cap \bar{\delta}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.11.** *If  $M$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $a, b \in M$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $a \parallel b$  or  $a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ .
- (b)  $b \leq a\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$  or  $b\beta_1 b\beta_2 b \dots b\beta_n b \leq a\beta_{n+1} y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$ .

**Proof.** If  $a \parallel b$ , then  $b \leq a$  or  $b \leq a\gamma x$  for some  $x \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $b\gamma b \leq a\gamma b$  or  $b\gamma b \leq a\gamma x\gamma b$ . Hence there exist  $y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $b\beta_1 b \leq a\beta_2 y$ . Assume that  $a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \leq a \text{ or } b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b \leq a\beta x \text{ for some } x \in M \text{ and } \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Put  $\gamma_{m+1} = \beta$ . Then

$$b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b\gamma_{m+1} b \leq a\gamma_{m+1} b \text{ or } b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b\gamma_{m+1} b \leq a\beta x\gamma_{m+1} b.$$

Hence there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$  such that

$$b\beta_1 b\beta_2 b \dots b\beta_n b \leq a\beta_{n+1} y.$$

Therefore (a) implies (b).

Conversely, if  $b \leq a\beta y$  for some  $y \in M$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $a \parallel b$ . Assume that  $b\beta_1 b\beta_2 b \dots b\beta_n b \leq a\beta_{n+1} y$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in M$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1} \in \Gamma$ . Then  $a \parallel b\beta_1 b\beta_2 b \dots b\beta_n b$ . Hence there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$  such that

$$a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_m b.$$

Therefore (b) implies (a). □

Using the relation  $\bar{\eta}$  defined above, we prove that the commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups are, uniquely, ordered semilattices of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups. That is, they are decomposable into archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups, and the decomposition is unique.

**Proposition 6.2.12.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then the  $\bar{\eta}$ -class  $(x)_{\bar{\eta}}$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$  for all  $x \in M$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in M$ . Since  $\bar{\eta} \in SC(M)$  and using Lemma 6.1.1(a), we have the  $\bar{\eta}$ -class  $(x)_{\bar{\eta}}$  is a sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ . Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $a, b \in (x)_{\bar{\eta}}$ . Then  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ . Thus

$$a \parallel b \text{ or } a \parallel b\gamma_1 b\gamma_2 b \dots b\gamma_t b \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t \in \Gamma,$$

and



$b \parallel a$  or  $b \parallel a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_ha$  for some  $h \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_h \in \Gamma$ .

Since  $a \parallel b$  or  $a \parallel b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_tb$ , it follows from Proposition 6.2.11 that there exist  $u \in \mathbb{N}, s \in M$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_u \in \Gamma$  such that  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub \leq a\alpha_{u+1}s$ . Similarly, there exist  $v \in \mathbb{N}, k \in M$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_v \in \Gamma$  such that  $a\lambda_1a\lambda_2a \dots a\lambda_va \leq b\lambda_{v+1}k$ . Thus  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b \leq a\alpha_{u+1}s\alpha_{u+1}b = b\alpha_{u+1}s\alpha_{u+1}a$ , so  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \parallel b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b$ . Clearly,  $b \parallel b\alpha_{u+1}s$ . Hence  $(b\alpha_{u+1}s, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ , so  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \in (b)_{\bar{\eta}} = (x)_{\bar{\eta}}$ . Thus we have  $b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b \leq a\alpha_{u+1}b\alpha_{u+1}s$  where  $u+1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b\alpha_{u+1}s \in (x)_{\bar{\eta}}$ , that is  $a \parallel_{(x)_{\bar{\eta}}} b\alpha_1b\alpha_2b \dots b\alpha_ub\alpha_{u+1}b$ . In a similar way, we can prove that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in (x)_{\bar{\eta}}$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  such that  $a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na \leq b\beta_{n+1}z$ . Hence  $b \parallel_{(x)_{\bar{\eta}}} a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na$ . Therefore  $(x)_{\bar{\eta}}$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$ .  $\square$

Immediately from Theorem 6.2.6 and Proposition 6.2.12, we have Theorem 6.2.13.

**Theorem 6.2.13.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $M$  is an ordered semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$ .*

**Proposition 6.2.14.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, and  $\rho$  is an ordered semilattice congruence on  $M$  such that the  $\rho$ -class  $(x)_\rho$  is an archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroup of  $M$  for all  $x \in M$ , then  $\rho = \bar{\eta}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a, b \in M$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \rho$ . Then, since  $a, b \in (b)_\rho$  and  $(b)_\rho$  is archimedean, we get

$$a \parallel_{(b)_\rho} b \text{ or } a \parallel_{(b)_\rho} b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma,$$

and

$$b \parallel_{(b)_\rho} a \text{ or } b \parallel_{(b)_\rho} a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n \in \Gamma.$$

Hence

$$a \parallel b \text{ or } a \parallel b\gamma_1b\gamma_2b \dots b\gamma_mb,$$

and

$$b \parallel a \text{ or } b \parallel a\beta_1a\beta_2a \dots a\beta_na.$$

Therefore  $(a, b) \in \bar{\eta}$ , so  $\rho \subseteq \bar{\eta}$ . By Lemma 6.2.1 and Theorem 6.2.8, we have  $\bar{\eta}$  is the least ordered semilattice congruence on  $M$ . Hence  $\bar{\eta} \subseteq \rho$ , so  $\bar{\eta} = \rho$ .  $\square$

Immediately from Theorem 6.2.6 and Propositions 6.2.12 and 6.2.14, we have Theorem 6.2.15.

**Theorem 6.2.15.** *If  $M$  is a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, then  $M$  is, uniquely, an ordered semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups of  $M$ .*

In comparison our above results with results of ordered semigroups, we see that for commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, we have the usual relation  $\mathcal{N}$  is equal to the relation  $\bar{\eta}$ , and every commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup is, uniquely, ordered semilattice of archimedean sub- $\Gamma$ -semigroups which is an analogous result of ordered semigroups.